

Before the Electrical Workers Registration Board

	CE No. 22137
Electrical Worker:	Craig Proctor (the Respondent)
Registration Number:	E 2227
Electrical Worker Number:	EW 044269
Registration Class:	Electrician

Decision of the Board in Respect of the Conduct of an Electrical Worker Under section 147(G) and 147 (M) of the Electricity Act 1992

Hearing Location:	Auckland
Hearing Type:	On the Papers
Hearing Date:	16 December 2019
Decision Date:	06 January 2020

Board Members Present:

Mel Orange (Presiding)
Michael Macklin, Registered Inspector
Monica Kershaw, Registered Electrician
Mac McIntyre, Registered Electrician
Jane Davel, Lay Member
Russell Keys, Registered Inspector
Ashley Yan, Registered Electrical Engineer

Procedure:

The matter was considered by the Electrical Workers Registration Board (the Board) under the provisions of Part 11 of the Electricity Act 1992 (the Act), the Electricity (Safety) Regulations 2010 (the Regulations) and the Board's Disciplinary Hearing Rules.

Board Decision:

The Respondent **has not** committed a disciplinary offence.

Introduction

- [1] The hearing resulted from a complaint about the conduct of the Respondent and a report under section 147G(1) of the Act from the Investigator that the complaint should be considered by the Board.
- [2] The Respondent was served with a Notice of Hearing setting out the alleged disciplinary offences the Investigator reported should be considered by the Board. They were:

Procedure

- [3] Section 147T of the act provides:

147T Investigator to prosecute matter

- (1) *If the investigator reports that in the investigator's opinion a complaint should be considered by the Board, the matter must be prosecuted by the investigator at the hearing held by the Board under this Part (unless the Board orders otherwise).*

- [4] On 22 October 2019 Counsel for the Investigator wrote to the Board stating:

Following a review of the file and the evidence, a decision has been made not to pursue disciplinary proceedings in this case. Accordingly, the Investigator does not intend to offer any evidence (sworn or otherwise) against the practitioner at the hearing.

Board's Conclusion and Reasoning

- [5] Based on the Investigator offering no evidence the Board finds that the Respondent has not committed a disciplinary offence.

Signed and dated this 6th day of January 2020.



Mel Orange
Presiding Member