

Before the Electrical Workers Registration Board

CE No. 22182

Electrical Worker: Francis Torres (the Respondent)

Registration Number: E 278510

Electrical Worker Number: EW 137078

Registration Class: Electrician

Decision of the Board in Respect of the Conduct of an Electrical Worker

Under section 147G and 147M of the Electricity Act 1992

Hearing Type: On the Papers

Hearing and Decision Date: 21 May 2020

Board Members Present:

Mel Orange (Presiding)

Michael Macklin, Registered Inspector

Monica Kershaw, Registered Electrician

Mac McIntyre, Registered Electrician

Jane Davel, Lay Member

Russell Keys, Registered Inspector

Ashley Yan, Registered Electrical Engineer

Counsel:

Toli Sagaga for the Investigator

Whitney Robertson for the Respondent

Procedure:

The matter was considered by the Electrical Workers Registration Board (the Board) under the provisions of Part 11 of the Electricity Act 1992 (the Act), the Electricity (Safety) Regulations 2010 (the Regulations) and the Board's Disciplinary Hearing Rules.

Board Decision:

The Respondent **has** committed disciplinary offences under sections 143(a)(i) and 143(f) of the Act.

Contents

Introduction	2
Function of Disciplinary Action	3
Procedure	4
Evidence	4
Board’s Conclusion and Reasoning	5
Negligence.....	5
Certification.....	9
Penalty, Costs and Publication	9
Penalty	9
Costs.....	10
Publication	11
Penalty, Costs and Publication Orders	12
Right of Appeal	12

Introduction

- [1] The hearing resulted from a complaint from Energy Safety about the conduct of the Respondent and a report under section 147G(1) of the Act from the Investigator that the complaint should be considered by the Board.
- [2] The Respondent was served with a notice setting out the alleged disciplinary offences the Investigator reported should be considered by the Board. They were:

First Alleged Disciplinary Offence

1. On or around 30 May 2019 at [REDACTED] Mr Francis Torres has carried out or caused to be carried out prescribed electrical work in a manner contrary to any enactment relating to prescribed electrical work that was in force at the time the work was done being an offence under section 143(a)(ii) of the Act, IN THAT; he supervised and tested the:
 - a) extension of socket outlets that were not supplied from a residual current device (RCD); and/or
 - b) extension of a socket outlet in a damp situation (Zone 3) that required an RCD; and/or
 - c) installed socket outlets which were not adequately fixed

In breach of regulations 13, 20 and 59 of the Electricity (Safety) Regulations 2010.

Or in the Alternative

2. On or around 30 May 2019 at [REDACTED] Mr Francis Torres has carried out or caused to be carried out prescribed electrical work in a negligent or incompetent manner being an offence under section 143(a)(i) of the Act, IN THAT, he supervised and tested the:
 - a) extension of socket outlets that were not supplied from an RCD; and/or
 - b) extension of a socket outlet in a damp situation (Zone 3) that required an RCD; and/or
 - c) installed socket outlets which were not adequately fixed.

Or in the Alternative

3. On or around 30 May 2019 at [REDACTED] Mr Francis Torres has negligently created a risk of serious harm to any person, or a risk of significant property damage, through having carried out or caused to be carried out prescribed electrical work being an offence under section 143(b)(ii) of the Act, IN THAT, he supervised and tested the:
 - a) extension of socket outlets that were not supplied from an RCD and/or;
 - b) extension of a socket outlet in a damp situation (Zone 3) that required an RCD and/or;
 - c) installed socket outlets which were not adequately fixed.

Second Alleged Disciplinary Offence

4. On or around 30 May 2019 at [REDACTED] Mr Francis Torres has provided a false or misleading return being an offence under section 143(f) of the Act, IN THAT, he certified prescribed electrical work that had not been carried out in a compliant manner.

[3] Prior to the hearing the Respondent and the Board were provided with all of the documents the Investigator had in his/her power or possession.

[4] No Board Members declared any conflicts of interest in relation to the matters under consideration.

Function of Disciplinary Action

[5] The common understanding of the purpose of professional discipline is to uphold the integrity of the profession. The focus is not punishment, but the protection of the public, the maintenance of public confidence and the enforcement of high standards of propriety and professional conduct. Those purposes were recently reiterated by the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom in *R v Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales*¹ and in New Zealand in *Dentice v Valuers Registration Board*².

¹ *R v Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales* [2011] UKSC 1, 19 January 2011.

² [1992] 1 NZLR 720 at p 724

- [6] Disciplinary action under the Act is not designed to redress issues or disputes between a complainant and a respondent. In *McLanahan and Tan v The New Zealand Registered Architects Board*³ Collins J. noted that:

“... the disciplinary process does not exist to appease those who are dissatisfied The disciplinary process ... exists to ensure professional standards are maintained in order to protect clients, the profession and the broader community.”

- [7] The Board can only inquire into “the conduct of an electrical worker” with respect to the grounds for discipline set out in section 143 of the Act. It does not have any jurisdiction over contractual matters.

Procedure

- [8] The matter proceeded on the basis of an Agreed Statement of Facts. The appearance of Counsel was excused.
- [9] The Agreed Statement of Facts noted that the Investigator was pursuing the second alternative to the First Alleged Disciplinary Offence, that of negligence or incompetence under section 143(a)(i) of the Act in addition to the charge under section 143(f) of the Act.

Evidence

- [10] The Board must be satisfied on the balance of probabilities that the disciplinary offences alleged have been committed⁴. The Board notes that as regards evidence in proceedings before it that the provisions of section 147W of the Act apply. This section states:

In all proceedings under this Part, the Board may, subject to section 156, receive as evidence any statement, document, information, or matter that may in its opinion assist it to deal effectively with the matter before it, whether or not it would be admissible as evidence in a court of law.

- [11] The Agreed Statement of Facts set out that a trainee under the supervision of the Respondent carried out prescribed electrical work whilst being remotely supervised which involved the install of a new two socket power outlet in the bathroom, two socket power outlets in the lounge and changing 15 existing socket outlets and 10 existing light switches to a new type of fitting. In doing so the trainee failed to ensure the socket outlets were supplied from an RCD; failed to ensure the socket outlet in a damp situation (Zone 3) was supplied from an RCD and installed socket outlets that were not adequately fixed.
- [12] The trainee, a third-year apprentice, was the Respondent’s business partner and his electrical capabilities were well known to the Respondent. The work had been discussed prior to it being carried out and the Respondent was available to the

³ [2016] HZHC 2276 at para 164

⁴ *Z v Dental Complaints Assessment Committee* [2009] 1 NZLR 1

trainee as the work progressed. The Respondent attended the property when the work was complete and did carry out some testing. He was informed by the trainee that he had not installed an RCD as he did not have the necessary equipment. It was anticipated that RCDs would be installed when an extractor fan in a bathroom was installed. That work was carried out by another electrical worker who identified the noncompliant work.

- [13] Following the Respondent being informed of the issues he returned to carry out remedial work and testing.
- [14] The Respondent accepted that he had carried out noncompliant prescribed electrical work as described above.
- [15] The general rule is that all facts in issue or relevant to the issue in a case must be proved by evidence. As the Investigator and Respondent agreed to the facts as outlined above it was not necessary to call any further evidence or to test the evidence as outlined in the summary.

Board's Conclusion and Reasoning

- [16] The Board has decided that the Respondent **has** carried out or caused to be carried out prescribed electrical work in a negligent manner being an offence under section 143(a)(i) of the Act, in that, he negligently supervised and tested the:
- (a) extension of socket outlets that were not supplied from an RCD; and
 - (b) extension of a socket outlet in a damp situation (Zone 3) that required an RCD; and
 - (c) installed socket outlets which were not adequately fixed.
- [17] The Board has also decided that the Respondent **has** provided a false or misleading return being an offence under section 143(f) of the Act, in that, he certified prescribed electrical work that had not been carried out in a compliant manner.
- [18] The Board reached its decision on the basis of the Agreed Statement of Facts and the Respondent's acceptance that he had committed the disciplinary offences.

Negligence

- [19] The matters before the Board involve the supervision of a trainee who carried out the prescribed electrical work.
- [20] Section 74 of the Act restricts the carrying out of prescribed electrical work to certain authorised persons. The Act also creates various exemptions including an exemption under section 77(1) for trainees⁵. It provides:

⁵ Under s 77(2) a trainee is defined as:

- (2) In this section, trainee—
- (a) means a person who is undergoing instruction or training in any class of prescribed electrical work for the purpose of obtaining registration as a registered person; and
 - (b) includes an apprentice who is working in the electricity industry.

- (1) *A person may do, or assist in doing, any prescribed electrical work if—*
 - (a) *that work is within the limits prescribed in regulations made for the purposes of this section; and*
 - (b) *the person is a trainee in relation to the work; and*
 - (c) *the work done by that person is carried out in accordance with a limited certificate issued by the Board to the trainee under section 78.*

[21] Under section 78(2) of the Act the Board may issue a limited certificate “*subject to any terms and conditions that the Board thinks fit*”. The Board, as a matter of course, issues all limited certificates with the condition that all prescribed electrical work is carried out under the supervision of a licensed person who is authorised to carry out the work being supervised.

[22] Regulation 93 of the Electrical (Safety) Regulations 2010 (the Regulations) sets the limits of work a trainee may do:

93 Limits of work that trainees may do

For the purposes of section 77(1)(a) of the Act, the prescribed electrical work that a trainee may do, or assist in doing, is any work that is within the particular class of work for which the trainee is seeking registration, and that is within the scope of work that the trainee's supervisor is authorised to do.

[23] It follows that a trainee holding a Limited Certificate and training to be an electrician must be supervised by a person holding the class of registration of an electrician or electrical inspector or electrical engineer and a current practising licence.

[24] Supervision in turn is defined in section 2 of the Act as:

Supervision, in relation to any work, means that the work is undertaken under such control and direction of a person authorised under this Act to do the work [or, in the case of section 76, a person authorised to supervise work under that section] as is sufficient to ensure—

- (a) *That the work is performed competently; and*
- (b) *That while the work is being undertaken, appropriate safety measures are adopted; and*
- (c) *That the completed work complies with the requirements of any regulations made under section 169 of this Act:*

[25] The definition was considered in *Electrical Workers Registration Board v Gallagher*⁶. Judge Tompkins stated at paragraph 24:

As is made apparent by the definition of "supervision" in the Act, that requires control and direction by the supervisor so as to ensure that the electrical work is performed competently, that appropriate safety measures are adopted, and that when completed the work complies with the requisite regulations. At the very least supervision in that context requires knowledge that work is

⁶ *Electrical Workers Registration Board v Gallagher* Judge Tompkins, District Court at Te Awamutu, 12 April 2011

being conducted, visual and other actual inspection of the work during its completion, assessment of safety measures undertaken by the person doing the work on the site itself, and, after completion of the work, a decision as to compliance of the work with the requisite regulations.

- [26] Finally, the Board maintains Supervision Procedures for Trainees⁷. These provide guidance as to the responsibilities of the supervisor and supervisee.
- [27] Given the requirements of the Act and Regulations and noting the Boards Supervision Procedures the Board considers the level of supervision required will depend on the circumstances under which the prescribed electrical work is being undertaken and the abilities of the trainee being supervised. A supervisor needs to assess each situation and determine the level of supervision which is appropriate. Consideration should be given to factors including but not limited to:
- (a) the type and complexity of the prescribed electrical work to be supervised;
 - (b) the experience of the person being supervised;
 - (c) the supervisor's experience in working with the person being supervised and their confidence in their abilities;
 - (d) the number of persons or projects being supervised; and
 - (e) the geographic spread of the prescribed electrical work being supervised.
- [28] The Board will also look at and take into consideration the standard and compliance of the prescribed electrical work completed under supervision when considering the adequacy of the supervision provided and whether the Respondent has been negligent.
- [29] Negligence is the departure by an electrical worker, whilst carrying out or supervising prescribed electrical work, from an accepted standard of conduct. It is judged against those of the same class of licence as the person whose conduct is being inquired into. This is described as the *Bolam*⁸ test of negligence which has been adopted by the New Zealand Courts⁹.
- [30] The New Zealand Courts have stated that assessment of negligence in a disciplinary context is a two-stage test¹⁰. The first is for the Board to consider whether the practitioner has departed from the acceptable standard of conduct of a professional. The second is to consider whether the departure is significant enough to warrant a disciplinary sanction.

⁷ Dated October 2010

⁸ *Bolam v Friern Hospital Management Committee* [1957] 1 WLR 582

⁹ *Martin v Director of Proceedings* [2010] NZAR 333 (HC), *F v Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal* [2005] 3 NZLR 774 (CA)

¹⁰ *Martin v Director of Proceedings* [2010] NZAR 333 (HC), *F v Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal* [2005] 3 NZLR 774 (CA)

[31] When considering what an acceptable standard is the Board must have reference to the conduct of other competent and responsible practitioners and the Board's own assessment of what is appropriate conduct, bearing in mind the purpose of the Act¹¹. The test is an objective one and in this respect it has been noted that the purpose of discipline is the protection of the public by the maintenance of professional standards and that this could not be met if, in every case, the Board was required to take into account subjective considerations relating to the practitioner¹².

[32] The Board notes that the purposes of the Act are:

1A Purposes

The purposes of this Act are—

- (a) *to provide for the regulation, supply, and use of electricity in New Zealand; and*
- (b) *Repealed.*
- (c) *to protect the health and safety of members of the public in connection with the supply and use of electricity in New Zealand; and*
- (d) *to promote the prevention of damage to property in connection with the supply and use of electricity in New Zealand; and*
- (da) *to provide for the regulation of fittings and electrical appliances that are, or may be, exported pursuant to an international trade instrument; and*
- (e) *to provide for the regulation of electrical workers.]*

[33] The Board also notes, as regards acceptable standards, that all prescribed electrical work must comply with the Electricity (Safety) Regulation 2010 and the cited Standards and Codes of Practice in Schedule 2 of the Regulations. As such, when considering what is and is not an acceptable standard they must be taken into account.

[34] Turning to seriousness in *Collie v Nursing Council of New Zealand*¹³ the Court's noted, as regards the threshold for disciplinary matters, that:

[21] Negligence or malpractice may or may not be sufficient to constitute professional misconduct and the guide must be standards applicable by competent, ethical and responsible practitioners and there must be behaviour which falls seriously short of that which is to be considered acceptable and not mere inadvertent error, oversight or for that matter carelessness.

[35] Taking all of the above factors into account the Board, which includes persons with expertise in the electrical industry, has decided that the Respondent's supervision of the trainee was inadequate and that it did result in noncompliant prescribed electrical work and that the conduct was sufficiently serious enough to warrant a disciplinary outcome.

¹¹ *Martin v Director of Proceedings* [2010] NZAR 333 at p.33

¹² *McKenzie v Medical Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal* [2004] NZAR 47 at p.71

¹³ [2001] NZAR 74

Certification

- [36] The second charge relates to the provision of a false or misleading return. In determining whether a return is false or misleading is a question of fact to be decided objectively and the intention of the issuer is irrelevant¹⁴.
- [37] The returns referred to are issued under the Regulations. There is a requirement that an Electrical Safety Certificate be issued for all prescribed electrical work. It must contain a statement to the effect that the installation or part installation is connected to a power supply and is safe to use. There is also a requirement that a Certificate of Compliance is issued for high and general risk prescribed electrical work. A Certificate of Compliance must state that the prescribed electrical work has been done lawfully and safely and that the information in the certificate is correct.
- [38] As the prescribed electrical work was not compliant and was not safe to connect, the offence has been committed.

Penalty, Costs and Publication

- [39] Having found that one or more of the grounds in section 143 applies the Board must, under section 147M of the Actⁱ, consider the appropriate disciplinary penalty, whether the Respondent should be ordered to pay any costs and whether the decision should be published.
- [40] The matter was dealt with on the papers. Included were penalty submissions which the Board has taken into consideration.

Penalty

- [41] The purpose of professional discipline is to uphold the integrity of the profession; the focus is not punishment, but the enforcement of a high standard of propriety and professional conduct. The Board does note, however, that the High Court in *Patel v Complaints Assessment Committee*¹⁵ commented on the role of "punishment" in giving penalty orders stating that punitive orders are, at times, necessary to provide a deterrent and to uphold professional standards. The Court noted:

[28] I therefore propose to proceed on the basis that, although the protection of the public is a very important consideration, nevertheless the issues of punishment and deterrence must also be taken into account in selecting the appropriate penalty to be imposed.

- [42] The Board also notes that in *Lochhead v Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment*¹⁶ the court noted that whilst the statutory principles of sentencing set out in the Sentencing Act 2002 do not apply to the Electricity Act they have the advantage of simplicity and transparency. The court recommended adopting a starting point for penalty based on the seriousness of the disciplinary offending prior

¹⁴ *Taylor Bros Ltd v Taylor Group Ltd* [1988] 2 NZLR 1

¹⁵ HC Auckland CIV-2007-404-1818, 13 August 2007 at p 27

¹⁶ 3 November 2016, CIV-2016-070-000492, [2016] NZDC 21288

to considering any aggravating and/or mitigating factors. The same applies to disciplinary proceedings under the Electricity Act.

- [43] The Board adopted a starting point a of a \$2,000 fine. The amount is consistent with other penalties imposed and took into account that the Respondent was aware that RCDs had not been installed but, nevertheless, decided to certify the installation and leave it in a noncompliant state.
- [44] The Respondent has cooperated and has accepted his wrongdoing. He is entitled to a discount for this. The fine will be reduced by 25%. The Respondent's Counsel has submitted that the remedial work completed should also be taken into account. The Board does not consider that this is mitigation. The Respondent was doing no more than what should have been done in the first place. Counsel has also noted that the Respondent has voluntarily undertaken training. Again, this is not a mitigating factor. The training noted is a mandatory competence course that all electrical workers must periodically complete. Other business practice changes are noted and applauded but again the Respondent is doing no more than would be expected of a competent electrical worker.
- [45] Based on the above the Board's penalty decision is that the Respondent pay a fine of \$1,500.

Costs

- [46] Under section 147N of the Act the Board may require the Respondent to pay the Board any sum that it considers just and reasonable towards the costs and expenses of, and incidental to the investigation, prosecution and the hearing.
- [47] The Respondent should note that the High Court has held that 50% of total reasonable costs should be taken as a starting point in disciplinary proceedings and that the percentage can then be adjusted up or down having regard to the particular circumstances of each case¹⁷.
- [48] In *Collie v Nursing Council of New Zealand*¹⁸ where the order for costs in the tribunal was 50% of actual costs and expenses the High Court noted that:
- But for an order for costs made against a practitioner, the profession is left to carry the financial burden of the disciplinary proceedings, and as a matter of policy that is not appropriate.*
- [49] Based on the above the Board's costs order is that the Respondent is pay the sum of \$450 toward the costs of and incidental to the matter. In setting the amount of costs the Board took into account that the Respondent had agreed to the matter proceeding by way of an Agreed Statement of Facts.

¹⁷ *Cooray v The Preliminary Proceedings Committee* HC, Wellington, AP23/94, 14 September 1995, *Macdonald v Professional Conduct Committee*, HC, Auckland, CIV 2009-404-1516, 10 July 2009, *Owen v Wynyard* HC, Auckland, CIV-2009-404-005245, 25 February 2010.

¹⁸ [2001] NZAR 74

Publication

- [50] As a consequence of its decision the Respondent's name and the disciplinary outcomes will be recorded in the public register as required by the Act¹⁹. The Board can, pursuant to section 147Z of the Act, also order publication over and above the public register notation. Under section 147Z the Board may, if no appeal is brought within 20 working days of its decision, direct the Registrar to cause a notice stating the effect of the decision or order, the reasons for the decision or order, and (unless the Board directs otherwise) the name of the person in respect of whom the decision or order was made, to be published in the Gazette and any other publications as may be directed by the Board.
- [51] As a general principle such further public notification may be required where the Board perceives a need for the public and/or the profession to know of the findings of a disciplinary hearing. This is in addition to the Respondent being named in this decision.
- [52] Within New Zealand there is a principle of open justice and open reporting which is enshrined in the Bill of Rights Act 1990²⁰. The Criminal Procedure Act 2011 sets out grounds for suppression within the criminal jurisdiction²¹. Within the disciplinary hearing jurisdiction the courts have stated that the provisions in the Criminal Procedure Act do not apply but can be instructive²². The High Court provided guidance as to the types of factors to be taken into consideration in *N v Professional Conduct Committee of Medical Council*²³.
- [53] The courts have also stated that an adverse finding in a disciplinary case usually requires that the name of the practitioner be published in the public interest²⁴. It is, however, common practice in disciplinary proceedings to protect the names of other persons involved as naming them does not assist the public interest.
- [54] Based on the above the Board will order further publication by way of an article in the Electron. The Respondent will be named in it.

¹⁹ Refer sections 128 of the Act

²⁰ Section 14 of the Act

²¹ Refer sections 200 and 202 of the Criminal Procedure Act

²² *N v Professional Conduct Committee of Medical Council* [2014] NZAR 350

²³ *ibid*

²⁴ *Kewene v Professional Conduct Committee of the Dental Council* [2013] NZAR 1055

Penalty, Costs and Publication Orders

[55] For the reasons set out above, the Board directs that:

Penalty: Pursuant to section 147M(1)(f) of the Electricity Act 1992, the Respondent is ordered to pay a fine of \$1,500.

Costs: Pursuant to section 147N of the Act, the Respondent is ordered to pay costs of \$450 (GST included) towards the costs of, and incidental to, the inquiry of the Board.

Publication: The Registrar shall record the Board's action in the Register of Electrical Workers in accordance with section 128(1)(c)(viii) of the Act.

The Respondent will be named in this decision.

A summary of the matter will be published by way of an article in the Electron which will focus on the lessons to be learnt from the case. The Respondent will be named in the publication.

[56] The Respondent should note that the Board may refuse to relicence an electrical worker who has not paid any fine or costs imposed on them.

Right of Appeal

[57] The right to appeal Board decisions is provided for in section 147ZA and 147ZB of the Actⁱⁱ.

Signed and dated this 26th day of May 2020



Mel Orange
Presiding Member

ⁱ Section 147M of the Act

- (1) *If the Board, after conducting a hearing, is satisfied that a person to whom this Part applies is guilty of a disciplinary offence, the Board may—*
- (a) *do 1 or more of the following things:*
 - (i) *order that the person's registration or practising licence (or both) be cancelled:*
 - (ii) *order that the person's provisional licence be cancelled:*
 - (iii) *order that the person may not apply to be reregistered or re-licensed before the expiry of a specified period:*
 - (b) *order that the person's registration or practising licence (or both), or the person's provisional licence, be suspended—*
 - (i) *for any period that the Board thinks fit; or*
 - (ii) *until that person does 1 or more of the things specified in subsection (2):*

-
- (c) order that the person's registration or practising licence (or both), or the person's provisional licence, be restricted for any period that the Board thinks fit, in either or both of the following ways:
 - (i) by limiting the person to the work that the Board may specify:
 - (ii) by limiting the person to doing, or assisting in doing, work in certain circumstances (for example, by limiting the person to work only on approved premises or only in the employ of an approved employer):
 - (d) order that the person be disqualified from doing or assisting in doing prescribed electrical work that the person would otherwise be authorised to do in that person's capacity as a person to whom this Part applies—
 - (i) permanently, or for any period that the Board thinks fit; or
 - (ii) until that person does 1 or more of the things specified in subsection (2):
 - (e) order the person to do 1 or more of the things specified in subsection (2) within the period specified in the order:
 - (f) order the person to pay a fine not exceeding \$10,000:
 - (g) order that the person be censured:
 - (h) make no order under this subsection.
- (2) The things that the person can be required to do for the purposes of subsection (1)(b), (d), and (e) are to—
 - (a) pass any specified examination:
 - (b) complete any competence programme or specified period of training:
 - (c) attend any specified course of instruction.
 - (3) The Board may take only 1 type of action in subsection (1) in relation to a case, except that it may impose a fine under subsection (1)(f) in addition to taking the action under subsection (1)(b), (c), (e) or (g).
 - (4) No fine may be imposed under subsection (1)(f) in relation to an act or omission that constitutes an—
 - (a) offence for which the person has been convicted by a court; or
 - (b) infringement offence for which the person has been issued with an infringement notice and has paid an infringement fee.
 - (5) The Board must not exercise any authority conferred by this section in respect of any offence committed by any person before the date of that person's registration or, as the case may be, the date on which that person's provisional licence was issued if at that date the Board was aware of that person's conviction for that offence.
 - (6) If a person is registered under Part 10 in respect of more than 1 class of registration, the Board may exercise its powers under subsection (1)(a) to (e) in respect of each of those classes or 1 or more of those classes as the Board thinks fit.]

ii Section 147ZA Appeals

- (1) A person who is dissatisfied with the whole or any part of any of the following decisions, directions, or orders may appeal to the District Court against the decision, direction, or order:
 - (e) any decision, direction, or order under any of sections 108, 109, 120, 133, 137, and 153 or Part 11 (except section 147C).

Section 147ZB Time for lodging appeal

An appeal under section 147ZA must be brought within—

- (a) 20 working days after notice of the decision, direction, or order was given to, or served on, the appellant; or
- (b) any further time that the District Court may allow on application made before or after the expiration of that period.